

**AGRCULTURAL SANITATION:  
From 'waste' to resource**

by

**Greg Berry, B.A , GradDipEnvSt(Hons)**

**Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
University of Tasmania  
December 2000**

## STATEMENT

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for a degree or diploma by the university or any other institutions, except by way of background information and is duly acknowledged in the Thesis, and to the best of the Candidate's knowledge and belief no material previously published or written by another person except where due acknowledgement is made in the text of the thesis.

This thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying in accordance with the Copyright Act 1968.

Signed .....  
Greg Berry, BA., GradDipEnvSt(Hons)

## ABSTRACT

This transdisciplinary study investigates the potential for safely and sustainably managing human excreta by utilizing this by-product as a fertilizer via alternating batch composting toilets of a specific design. An historical analysis traces the traditional use of human excreta in cultivation, and examines the reasons for the demise of this ancient excreta management strategy in some countries. The study further explores the potential of Agricultural Sanitation providing a modern sustainable method for managing human excreta re-use in traditional settings through field research conducted in Vietnam and Northern Pakistan.

A composting toilet trial conducted on the Central Pacific island of Kiritimati in Kiribati served to test this method of managing human excreta in a challenging cultural and geographic environment where a population has a high incidence of faecally transmitted enteric diseases.

To establish the safety of the composting toilet end-product, fresh faecal samples from composting toilet households on Kiritimati were tested to identify any pathogens being introduced into the toilets. Compost samples from the composting toilets were subsequently tested to assess the survival of any pathogenic organisms through laboratory analyses and the incubation of parasite ova that were removed from the compost. Compost samples from a large publicly used batch composting toilet in the World Heritage Area of Tasmania, Australia, and a domestic batch composting toilet on the North Coast of NSW, Australia were also analysed for pathogen content.

The fertilizer capabilities of the composting toilet end-product was assessed by testing compost samples from the Kiritimati and Australian composting toilets. Total elemental analysis and nutrient availability tests were conducted on the samples. A pot trial was also conducted on Kiritimati and a glasshouse pot trial was performed at the University of Tasmania to observe and record the response of plants amended with the toilet compost.

An analysis was undertaken of the socio-cultural problems encountered when introducing new or improved Agricultural Sanitation systems into various communities. The implementation policies of Agricultural

Sanitation system projects are reviewed and examined in Kiribati, Tonga, El Salvador, Guatemala, Pakistan and Vietnam to determine the main features constraining and enabling implementation in various socio-cultural situations.

It was found that the technical and biological issues related to Agricultural Sanitation utilizing alternating batch composting toilets are not the most significant factors preventing the adoption of this method of excreta management. Evidence from the historical investigation and the review of contemporary use of human excreta in cultivation suggests human excreta is a valuable resource in those cultures. The results from observations and analyses of compost samples from the batch composting toilets revealed that human excreta compost was aesthetically acceptable, useful, and potentially safe. The major constraint to the sustainable introduction of this excreta management strategy appeared to be resistance to the required changes in behaviour. Therefore attention to complex socio-cultural factors is the principle requirement for successful technology transfer.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank all those people from around the world who gave freely of their time and energy and who assisted me in various ways during this research project. I would also like to thank The World Health Organisation (WHO) and the South Pacific Applied Geoscience Commission (SOPAC) for their financial support. Thanks also to my supervisor Dr John Todd for his assistance and supervision, and for giving me the freedom to explore the topic fully.

I would especially like to thank Dr Leonie Crennan for supervision and for her advice, patience, and encouragement over the years. I would also like to thank Charmian Eckersley for her practical and moral support and to my children, friends and family for their understanding and caring while enduring my neglect. An acknowledgement is also due to Stockton beach which provided solace and sustenance while writing up this thesis.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
ABBREVIATIONS	xx
 <b>CHAPTER ONE</b>	
<b>THE CHALLENGE OF SUSTAINABLE EXCRETA MANAGMENT</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The alternating batch composting toilet	5
1.1.1 Composting toilet designs used in the research	5
1.1.2 Composting process in the alternating batch toilet	11
1.2 Research context and process	12
1.3 Thesis organization	17
 <b>CHAPTER TWO</b>	
<b>AGRICULTURAL SANITATION: ANTIQUITY TO MODERN TIMES</b>	<b>19</b>
2.1 Agricultural Sanitation in various countries	20
2.2 The Chinese experience: excreta and the empire	20
2.2.1 The emergence of a cohesive Chinese culture	20
2.2.2 Chinese innovation: political administration, technology and philosophy	21
2.2.3 Mutual responsibility: the family and the State	22
2.2.4 Agriculture, peasants and the superstructure	23
2.2.5 Human Excreta in Chinese agriculture	24
2.2.6 Communism and composting	26
2.3 Korean re-use of human excreta	27
2.4 Japanese use of human excreta	27
2.5 Agricultural Sanitation in the Northern Areas of Pakistan and India	28
2.5.1 The Water, Sanitation, Hygiene and Health Studies Project (WSHHSP)	31
2.5.2 Agricultural Sanitation via the Balti-latrines in Baltistan	31
2.5.2 (a) Balti-latrines management and storage of end-product	36
2.5.3 Utilizing the Balti-latrines manure	38
2.5.3 (a) Mendi Village	39
2.5.3 (b) Gol Village	42
2.5.3 (c) Thogmo Village	42
2.5.3 (d) Hutchus Village	42
2.5.3 (e) Gongma Bordas Village	43
2.5.3 (f) Kuwas Village	44
2.5.4 Traditional Excreta management in the Hunza and Nagar region of Gilgit	47
2.5.4 (a) The Chukan	47
2.5.4 (b) The Qem	49

2.4.4 (c) The Sarooi	50
2.5.5 Human excreta acceptance as a resource	50
2.6 Agricultural Sanitation in Vietnam	51
2.6.1 The Double Vault Composting Latrine	51
2.6.1 (a) The DVCL in Agricultural Sanitation in Habac Province	53
2.6.1 (b) Utilization of the DVCL in other countries	57
2.7 Use of human excreta in India	57
2.8 Aztec and Inca use of human excreta	58
2.9 Agricultural Sanitation in the European context	58
2.9.1 Rome: human excreta use in a cloacal culture	59
2.9.2 Excreta management and Agricultural Sanitation in Britain and France	60
2.9.2 (a) Odours of urbanization-conditions in Middle Ages London and the problem of night soil	61
2.9.2 (b) Chinese influences on European practices	64
2.9.2 (c) Agricultural use of human excreta in European cultures	66
2.9.2 (d) Sanitary Reform and Agricultural Sanitation	69
2.10 Agricultural Sanitation in contemporary environments	76
2.11 Agricultural Sanitation: an innovative or antiquated solution	76
<b>CHAPTER THREE</b>	
<b>AGRICULTURAL SANITATION: THREAT OR ENHANCEMENT TO HUMAN HEALTH?</b>	79
3.1 Sanitation and Disease	80
3.1.1 Health	85
3.2 Risk assessment	86
3.2.1 Pathogens	92
3.2.2 Pathogen indicators	96
3.3 Approaches to the testing of the sanitary effectiveness of human excreta composts	104
3.3.1 Microbial assessment of latrine material (abono) at CEMAT, Guatemala	104
3.3.2 Detection and enumeration of <i>Ascaris lumbricoides</i> from El Salvador workshop	107
3.3.3 Enumeration and viability analysis at the WHO Collaborating Centre	108
3.3.4 Microbiological assessment of toilet compost during the Water, Sanitation, Hygiene and Health Studies Project (WSHHSP), Pakistan	108
3.3.5 Parasite analysis Hanoi, Vietnam	110
3.3.6 The Analytical Method for ova viability testing	110
3.3.7 Vital Staining and the relationship between viability and infectivity	111

3.4	Field research on Kiritimati, Kiribati	112
3.4.1	Background: Kiritimati Water and Sanitation Project	116
3.4.1(a)	Continuing research on Kiritimati	117
3.4.2	Enteric disease and water quality in Kiribati and on Kiritimati	120
3.4.3	Constraints on Kiritimati research	125
3.4.4	Composting effectiveness and pathogen analysis development	126
3.4.5	<i>Trichuris trichiura</i> as a pathogen indicator	128
3.4.5 (a)	Viability analysis: separation and incubation of <i>Trichuris</i> ova	131
3.4.5 (b)	Results of the viability analysis of <i>Trichuris trichiura</i> ova from the 1996 experiment	134
3.4.5 (c)	Viability analysis: isolation of ova using Vissar filter and incubation using Petrie dishes	136
3.4.6	Testing for other pathogens, 1995-1998	140
3.4.6 (a)	Pathogen analysis of faecal and compost samples in 1998	149
3.5	Implications of the study and the way forward	154
<b>CHAPTER FOUR</b>		
<b>AGRICULTURAL SANITATION: THE USE OF TOILET COMPOST IN CULTIVATION</b>		155
4.1	Fertilizer	157
4.2	The role of organic matter in promoting soil fertility	158
4.2.1	Fertilization and nitrogen dynamics	162
4.2.1 (a)	Immobilization and mineralization of nitrogen	164
4.3	Human excreta, compost, and fertilizer	167
4.4	Compost maturity	172
4.5	Methods for assessing the fertilizer value of composted human excreta	175
4.5.1	Choice of method for assessing fertilizer value	184
4.5.2	Field research	184
4.6	Field research on Kiritimati	185
4.6.1	Kiritimati soil	186
4.6.2	Cultivation on Kiritimati	189
4.6.2 (a)	Vegetables	189
4.6.2 (b)	Composting	190
4.6.2 (c)	Crabs	191
4.6.3	Bulking agents	191
4.7	Testing of compost samples	192
4.7.1	Analysis of compost samples from Kiritimati and Australia, 1995	192
4.7.2	Analysis of compost and other samples from Kiritimati, 1996	196



4.7.3	Analysis of compost samples from Kiritimati and Australia, 1998	196
4.7.4	Testing for pH of compost samples from Kiritimati and Australia	199
4.8	Pot trials	200
4.8.1	Pot trial bio-assays on Kiritimati, 1996	200
4.8.1 (a)	Discussion of results from the 1996 pot trial	205
4.8.2	Pot trial bio-assays in Tasmania, 1998	207
4.8.2 (a)	Results and discussion from the 1998 pot trial	211
4.8.2 (b)	Lessons learnt from 1998 pot trial	228
4.9	Local domestic experiments on Kiritimati	229
4.10	The future of batch toilet compost as a fertilizer	230
<b>CHAPTER FIVE</b>		
<b>AGRICULTURAL SANITATION: THE PRACTICALITIES OF IMPLEMENTATION</b>		232
5.1	Strategies for promoting and implementing excreta management systems including Agricultural Sanitation	234
5.1.1	Principles and process: the praxis of promoting and implementing excreta management projects	237
5.2	Promoting Agricultural Sanitation as a new concept	239
5.2.1	Promoting and implementing Agricultural Sanitation in Kiritimati	240
5.2.1 (a)	The gardening programme	241
5.2.1 (b)	The garden competitions	243
5.2.1 (c)	Compost production	245
5.2.1 (d)	Agricultural Sanitation and gender issue	250
5.2.1 (e)	Human excreta and taboo	251
5.2.1 (f)	Intervention agendas	251
5.2.1 (g)	The fate of the Kiritimati Composting Toilet Trial	255
5.2.2	Composting toilet trial in Tonga	266
5.2.3	Desiccating excreta management system implementation in Central America	270
5.2.3 (a)	Integrated excreta management reform and promotion by Pro-Vida and UNICEF	272
5.2.3 (b)	The LASF in Hermosa Provincia	279
5.2.3 (c)	Experimental solar latrine at Tecpan	282
5.2.3 (d)	The Dry Alkaline Family Fertilizer Latrine (DAFL) in Guatemala	285
5.2.3 (e)	Excreta management in the village of Duranzo, Guatemala	286
5.2.4	Indicators for implementing Agricultural Sanitation in the Pacific Islands and Central America	290
5.3	Promoting change in excreta management by building on traditional practices	292
5.3.1	Implementation strategies in the Northern Areas of Pakistan	293
5.3.1 (a)	The Twin Pit Composting Latrine (TPCL)	296

5.3.2	Introducing on-site waterborne excreta management systems into Northern Pakistan and Vietnam	300
5.3.2 (a)	Attempts to supplant the DVCL in Vietnam	301
5.4	Composting toilet systems and the market	307
5.5	In summary: the challenge of Agricultural Sanitation system promotion and implementation	310
<b>CHAPTER SIX</b>		
<b>IS AGRICULTURAL SANITATION A FEASIBLE, SUSTAINABLE, EXCRETA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM?</b>		<b>313</b>
6.1	Institutional challenges	319
6.2	Research inadequacies	310
6.3	The personal perspective of qualitative research	322
6.4	Towards a sustainable future	323
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>327</b>
<b>PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS</b>		<b>353</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>		<b>359</b>